DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 423 182 SO 028 600

AUTHOR Gordon, Howard R. D.

TITLE Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals: Impact of

Selected Variables.

PUB DATE 1997-02-00

NOTE 16p.; Paper presented at the Annual Eastern Educational

Research Association Conference (20th, Hilton Head, SC,

February 19-22, 1997).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Educational Legislation; Instructional Leadership; Legal

Problems; *Principals; *School Administration; *School Law;

*Secondary Education; Secondary Schools

IDENTIFIERS West Virginia

ABSTRACT

This study assesses the impact of selected variables on the legal knowledge of 136 secondary school principals in the state of West Virginia. The variables selected for study include: (1) training; (2) teaching; (3) experience; (4) administrative experience; and (5) school district size. Analysis revealed that selected variables in this study had little or no impact on the legal knowledge of secondary school principals. The author recommends that secondary school principals be more familiar with practical approaches taken by his/her state's laws in relevant areas. A questionnaire was mailed to each of the 136 secondary school principals with two follow-up mailings yielding an 88 percent response rate. (Author/EH)



Running head: LEGAL KNOWLEDGE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL PRINCIPALS

Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals: Impact of Selected Variables

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

HOWARD R.D. GORDON

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Howard R.D. Gordon
Marshall University
Department of Adult and Technical Education
Huntington, WV 25755

Paper presented at the Twentieth Annual
Eastern Educational Research Association Conference
Hilton Head, South Carolina
February 19 - 22, 1997





Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of selected variables on the legal knowledge of secondary school principals (n=136) in the state of West Virginia. Internal consistency coefficient alpha of the instrument was .7182. The selected variables in the study were: training, teaching, experience, administrative experience, and school district size. Chi-square analyses revealed that selected variables in this study had little or no impact on the legal knowledge of secondary school principals. It was recommended that the secondary school principal needs to be more familiar with practical approaches taken by his or her state's laws in relevant areas.



Legal Knowledge of Secondary School principals: Impact of Selected Variables

Today, the nature of the principal's job is fundamentally different as a result of this tide of litigation (Doverspike, 1990). In addition to its traditional components (mentor, instructional leaders, chief disciplinarian, site manager, and decision maker), the principal function has taken on new overtones: the principal of the 1990's and 21st century must now be, to a degree undreamed of even twenty-five years ago, a legal expert. Principals who attempt to manage schools in the 1990's and the 21st century without a working knowledge of education related law are operating under unrealistic assumptions, and may also be needlessly exposing themselves to liability from a variety of sources (Doverspike, 1990).

A study by Magnus (1992) showed that principals with five or fewer years of teaching made decisions significantly more compatible with existing law and used shorter decision-making process than principals with more years of teaching. According to Clark (1990), a significant difference was found between those educators who had a course in school law and those educators who had not taken a course in school law, thus supporting the idea that such education will enhance knowledge.

Several studies (Bagnato, 1990; Caldwell, 1986; Clark, 1990; Osborn, 1990; Nawnne, 1986) have shown that there is no relationship between principals' knowledge of school law and their preparation (kind and quantity) or years of administrative experience. In addition, Shaw (1983) reported that no relationship was found between knowledge of school law and the amount of legal training that principals received.

Bagnato (1990) and Nawnne (1986) indicate that school district size of secondary principals was not related significantly to their knowledge or ability to apply the law. However, size of the school district and role of the administrator were related significantly to the importance



administrators assigned to select information sources.

Purpose and Research Questions

The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of selected variables on the legal knowledge of secondary public school principals in the state of West Virginia. The research questions were as follows:

- 1. Are secondary school principals knowledgeable about legal concepts?
- 2. What is the association between the legal knowledge of secondary school principals and their training?
- 3. What is the association between the legal knowledge of secondary school principals and their teaching experience?
- 4. What is the association between the legal knowledge of secondary school principals and their administrative experience?
- 5. What is the association between the legal knowledge of secondary school principals and school district size?

Definition of Terms

The following definition of terms are applicable to this study:

Mid-Size City - Central city of a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) with the city having a population less than 6,000 people per square mile.

<u>Urban Fringe of Mid-Size City</u> - Place within a SMSA of a mid-size central city and defined as urban by the Census Bureau.

Large Town - Town within a SMSA, with a population greater than or equal to 25,000.

Small Town - Town not within a SMSA and with a population less than 25,000 and greater than or



equal to 2,500 people.

<u>Rural</u> - A place with less than 2,5000 people or a place having a zip code designated rural by the Census Bureau.

Research Methodology

Population and Sample

The population (N=210) was all secondary public school principals listed in the 1995-1996 West Virginia Public School Directory. The sample size (n=136) was calculated based upon a formula presented by Tuckman (1978, p. 231-232). The formula derived the sample size based upon an anticipated five percent margin of sampling error, and 95 percent confidence interval.

Instrumentation

The questionnaire, Legal Knowledge Index, was developed with the assistance of expert review and then piloted to establish its validity and reliability. Internal Consistency Coefficient alpha (Cronbach) of the instrument was .7182. The first part of the questionnaire consisted of items requesting demographic information. The second part was a 40-item instrument developed for assessing knowledge of West Virginia school law. Construct validity was evaluated by administering the instrument to twelve graduate students and six secondary school principals enrolled in a school law seminar. These participants were not included as part of the sample for the study.

Data Collection

The questionnaire, along with a cover letter and a self-addressed envelope, were mailed to each of the 136 secondary school principals during the spring semester of the academic year 1995-96. To ensure a high return rate, the Total Design Method (Dillman, 1978) was utilized. The initial mailing and two follow-up mailings yielded an 88.23% (120) response rate.



A non-response bias procedure, the early/late response approach as described by Miller and Smith (1983), was used to determine non-response bias in response to each question. Since no significant differences were found between early respondents and late respondents, the data received were assumed to representative to the sample/population (Miller and Smith, 1983).

Analysis of Data

The data were analyzed using SPSS/PC+. Chi-square analyses and descriptive statistics were used to describe the data.

Results and Conclusions

Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals

Principals' mean score on the legal knowledge index was 29.19 (SD=3.65). Raw scores were based on a maximum possible score of 40. This finding suggests that respondents in this study had an average preparation in school law. Overall, respondents mastered only 73.40% the material. This finding is also consistent with the opinions and findings of Donvanis and Brown (1995).

The top ten legal knowledge questions which respondents were least likely to answer correctly were in the following components of school law: sex discrimination against teachers, compulsory schooling and parents' rights, child abuse and neglect, freedom of expression, due process for teachers, express and implied contracts, mandatory community service, and racial discrimination against teachers and staff.

Table 1 displays the top ten legal knowledge questions which respondents were least likely to answer correctly. Ranked at the top was the question, "can gender be a relevant factor in selection of a school counselor?" (frequency = 102). Ranked second was the question, if parents have religious objections, "can they avoid sending their children to school?" (frequency=89). Ranked



third was the question, "is it important to be able to distinguish between abuse and neglect?"

(frequency = 87). Only 35% (42) of the respondents were knowledgeable about the following question: "can school refuse to rehire probationary teachers for any reason?" Ironically this case occurred in the state of West Virginia (Miller v. Board of Education, 1978).

!	_
Insert Table 1 about here	
Insort Tuoio I doodt noio	_

These findings suggest a need for the state of West Virginia to develop inservice programs to update principals' current legal knowledge. The very nature of the law dictates that practicing principals be up-to-date with current changes and other developments. Respondents in this study were also less likely to answer questions correctly in the "freedom of expression" component. This is consistent with the findings of Clark (1990).

Association Between Legal Knowledge and Selected Variables

The selected variables in this study were: training (kind/quantity), teaching experience, administrative experience, and school communities as defined by the Census Bureau. The data revealed that there was a low degree of association between legal knowledge of secondary school principals and selected variables. Chi-square analyses were statistically not significant (p>05). Thus, selected variables in this study had little or not impact on the legal knowledge of secondary school principals (see tables 2,3,4). These results are consistent with the opinions and findings of several researchers (Bagnato, 1990; Caldwell, 1986; Clark, 1990; Osborn, 1990; Nwanne, 1986; and Shaw, 1983).

Insert Tables 2, 3, and 4 about here



Educational Significance

The secondary school principal must keep in mind that some areas of the law affecting his or her role are state-based and may as a result vary significantly. The secondary school principal needs to be familiar with practical approaches taken by his or her state's laws in relevant areas. Further research needs to be done in those areas which are state-based. One possible outgrowth of this study is an inservice program which could be designed for principals and teachers. Such a program should address the selected school law components discussed in this study.



References

Bagnato, M.A. (1990). Disciplinary exclusion: Administrators' knowledge and application of the law governing suspension/expulsion of handicapped students. <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>

<u>International, 51</u> (07), 2205A. (University Microfilms No. AAD90-25886)

Caldwell, M.T. (1986). Virginia principals and school law. <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u> international, 48 (05), 1067A. (University microfilms No. AAD87-18990)

Clark, T.R. (1990). Mississippi superintendents' and secondary educators' knowledge of school law as it relates to student rights in selected areas. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 51 (10), 3282A. (University of Microfilms No. AAD91-06562)

Dillman, D.A. (1978). Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Donvanis, G. & Brown, J.A. (1995, April). <u>Legal perspectives on the training of school administrators and teachers</u>. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, California.

Doverspike, D.E. (1990). The principal, student, and the law. <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>

<u>International, 51</u> (05), 1456A. (University Microfilms No. AAD90-27330)

Magnus, V.L. (1992). An analysis of the decision-making process of secondary school principals in curriculum decisions involving the first amendment. <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>

<u>International</u>, 53 (06), 1751A. (University of Microfilms No. AAD92-31383)

Miller v. Board of Education 450 F. Supp. 106 (S.D. W.Va. 1978).

Miller, L.E. & Smith, R.L. (1983). Handling nonresponse issues. <u>Journal of Extension</u>, 21, 45-50.

Nawnne, A.I. (1986). The perceptions of public school principals in the state of Texas



concerning selected court decisions. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 47 (08), 2834A. (University Microfilms No. AAD86-26042)

Osborn, C.E. (1990). Principals' knowledge of South Dakota school law. <u>Dissertation</u>

<u>Abstracts International, 51</u> (06), 1859A. (University Microfilms No. AAD90-29773)

Shaw, F.W., II (1983). Principals' knowledge of the law of public education and its relationship to their job satisfaction. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 44 (10), 2947A. (University Microfilms No. AAD84-01793)

Tuckman, B. (1978). Conducting educational research. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.



Table 1 Top Ten Legal Knowledge Questions Which Respondents Were Least Likely to Answer Correctly $(\underline{n} = 120)$

Rank	Question	Frequency	Percentage
1	Can gender be a relevant factor in selection of a school counselor?	102	85
2	If parents have religious objections to school, can they avoid sending their children to school?	89	74.14
3	Is it important to be able to distinguish between abuse and neglect?	87	72.50
4	Are personal complaints protected by the First Amendment?	86	71.66
5	Can school refuse to rehire probationary teachers for any reason?	78	65
6	Can a school board ever restrict teachers' rights to publicize their views?	76	63.33
7	Does a contract have to be in writing?	63	52.50
8	Can public schools require students to participate in community service?	60	50
9	Is a publication obscene if it contains offensive, vulgar, or "dirty" language?	59	49.16
10	Can minorities be dismissed when reduction occur as a result of desegregation?	57	47.50

Note. Percents do not total 100 because of multiple responses.



Table 2 Crosstabulations of Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals by Teaching
Experience (n = 120)

_		Teaching 1	Experience			
_	Less than 10 yrs More than 10 yrs		To	otal		
Raw Score a	<u>n</u>	% ^b	<u>n</u>	% ^b	<u>n</u>	% ^b
16-21	3	2.5	1	.8	4	3.4
22-27	14	11.7	14	11.7	28	23.4
25-33	32	26.7	45	37.5	77	64.1
34-35	8	6.6	3	2.5	11	9.1
Total	57	47.5	63	52.5	120	100

Note. ^a Raw scores based on a maximum of 40. ^b Percent of total sample.

Cramer's V = .20.



Table 3 Crosstabulations of Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals by Administrative Experience ($\underline{n} = 120$)

	Administrative Experience					
	Less tha	ın 10 yrs.	More th	an 10 yrs.	To	otal
Raw Score ^a	<u>n</u>	% ^b	<u>n</u>	%ь	<u>n</u>	% ^b
16-21	1	.8	3	2.5	4	3.3
22-27	9	7.5	19	15.8	28	23.3
28-33	20	16.7	57	47.5	77	64.2
34-35	2	1.7	9 .	7.5	11	9.2
Total	32	26.7	88	73.3	120	100

Note. ^a Raw scores based on a maximum of 40. ^b Percent of total sample.

Cramer's V = .08.



Crosstabulations of Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals by Selected School Communities (n = 120) Table 4

					Loc	Location		,				,
	Mid-S	Mid-Size City	Urban Fringe Mid-Size City	Fringe e City	Large	Large Town	Small	Small Town	Ru Ru	Rural	Total	tal
Raw Score ²	디	%p	п	%	<u>=</u>	9%	띠	%p	u	۹%	u	9%
16-21		∞.	0	0	0	0	-	∞.	.7	1.7	4	3.3
22-27	7	1.7	7	1.7		∞i	∞	6.7	15	12.5	28	23.3
28-33	9	-5.0	&	6.7	7	1.7	24	20.0	37	30.8	. 77	64.2
34-35	0	0		∞.	2	1.7	3	2.5	5	4.2	11	9.2
Total	6	7.5	11	9.2	5	4.2	36	30	.59	49.2	120	100
Note. ^a Raw scores based on a maximum of 40. ^b Percent of total sample	cores base	d on a maxi	mum of 40								0	

b Percent of total sample.

Cramer's V = .15.



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCU	MENT IDEN	ITIFICATION:		1. = 1.			
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION: Title: Legal Knowledge of Secondary School Principals: Impact of Selected Variables Author(s): Dr. Howard R.D. Gordon Bublication Date:							
Author(s):	Dr. 1	Howard R.D. Good	070				
Corporate		sand a second se	- Put	olication Date:			
·		·					
II REP	RODUCTIO	N RELEASE:					
In ord in the mon paper copy	der to disseminate othly abstract journ y, and electronic/o	as widely as possible timely and significant mains of the ERIC system, Resources in Education optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document, and, if reproduction release is grant	ument Reproduction Service (EDRS) or ted, one of the following notices is affixed	to the document			
If per the botton	rmission is granted n of the page.	d to reproduce and disseminate the identified of	document, please CHECK ONE of the fo	lowing two options and organ in			
	ar i	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents	»			
For Level Permitting remicrofiche (4 other ERIC	ck here 1 1 Release: approduction in 4° x 6° film) or archival media onic or optical)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or			
and paper	~ P) .	Level 1	Level 2				
	to	ocuments will be processed as indicated provide reproduce is granted, but neither box is check	go, occuments will be presented				
	this docum	rant to the Educational Resources Information C nent as indicated above. Reproduction from the loyees and its system contractors requires per on by libraries and other service agencies to sat	mission from the copyright holder. Exceptisfy information needs of educators in resp	tion is made for non-profit			
Signature: here blease Organization/Address: Marshall University Printed Name/Position/Tide: Howard R.D. Gordon/Bob Telephone: (304) 696 3079 FAX: 304) 6							
please	Organization/Addr	ess: Marshall University	Telephone: (304) 696 3079	(304) 696-3077			
0	434 Har Dept. A	Poss: Marshall University ris Hall TE rugton, KIV 25755	E-Mail Address:	Date: 2/26/97			
ERĬC	HUNTI	Ng/ON, IN.		(ove			